



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,583	01/19/2000	Dan S. Bloomberg	104324	3328
7590 11/19/2003			EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berridge PLC			WU, JINGGE	
P O Box 19928			<u> </u>	
Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	۸.
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	\mathcal{H}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/487.583 BLOOMBERG ET AL. Advisory Action **Examiner Art Unit** 2623 Jingge Wu -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \bowtie The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 60. Claim(s) objected to: 26 and 49-53. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,23,24,27,30,31 and 39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: __ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: __

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 11





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) rejoinder is denied because the proper way should be withdrawn claim 50 since this claim has the limitaion not in species IV and it is applicant responsibility to correctly regroup the claims. 2) Applicant repeatly argues that one dimensional array can not be used for separating bounary and no-bounary pixels and assert Jozefowski using one dimensional array. The Examiner disagrees the assertions of Applicant. First, Applicant showed no evidencet for his one dimensional array assertion is true, and no book or paper related to image processing field that the Examiner have read contains that assertion. Second, Jozefowski's scheme may not use one dimensional array only. The high resolution super pixel cell is not one dimensional. 3) all figues are the part of the specification. The Figs. 5A and 6A shows "the stored numeric values representing part of a thick straight line, supersampled at twice the Horizontal and vertical display resolutions". Any one ordinary skill in the art of image processing field would know that the line displayed on the background would create at least one edge and may be two edges. Here, the "1" is the pixels of the line which are the boundary pixels and "0" is the background pixels which is non-boundary pixels. The filter operation is the anti-alaising operation that is the problem the instant invention tries to solve.